

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/412,182	10/05/1999	JOSEPH M. CANNON	83-76-31	9312
7590 04/20/2004			EXAMINER	
WILLIAM H. BOLLMAN MANELLI, DENISON & SELTER PLLC 2000 M STREET, NW SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036-3307			WEST, LEWIS G	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2682	20
			DATE MAILED: 04/20/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/412,182	CANNON ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Lewis G. West	2682				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
THE REPLY FILED 02 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appears Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	HIS APPLICATION IN CONDITIC avoid abandonment of this applicantly a timely filed amendment which al (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	DN FOR ALLOWANCE. ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in				
<u> </u>	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing da b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offi	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TI e date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the main	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	CFR 1.704(b).					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d)  they present additional claims without cance	(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. $\square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:		·				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
P.☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. ☐ Other:						
LIWEST	W.					
(703) 308-9298	Sincoracon	MAN CHIN Ý PATENT EXAMINER NOV CENTRE DESIGN				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)





Application No. 09/412,182

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The new issue is "available" with reference to the dial tone, which forms the basis of at least part of applicant's arguments, and changing the scope of the claims makes applicant's arguments moot. Examiner agrees that there is support for not receiving a tilal tone when off hook, however this would fail to change the art rejection, as Tendler receives no dial tone and is clearly onhook. The transition to off-hook is carried out by the EVLS, and not by the user as is argued by applicant, see col. 2 lines 41-44. Cordless phones and cellular phones are both in the art of wireless radio frequency telephony and operate on similar principles and are analagous.